Ш

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	BOLAND, INC., a California corporation dba S&S SUPLIES,
11	NO. CIV. S-08-2201 LKK/JFM
12	Plaintiff,
13	V. <u>ORDER</u>
14	ROLF C. HAGEN (USA) CORP., and DOES 1 through 50,
15	inclusive,
16	Defendants/
17	On April 15, 2010, this court issued a tentative pretrial
18	order in the above-captioned matter. (Dkt. No. 65). This
19	tentative order inadvertently omitted references to plaintiff's
20	
	witness and exhibit lists, and the court corrected these omissions
21	witness and exhibit lists, and the court corrected these omissions with an amended tentative order filed on April 16, 2010 (Dkt. No.
21 22	
	with an amended tentative order filed on April 16, 2010 (Dkt. No.
22	with an amended tentative order filed on April 16, 2010 (Dkt. No. 66). Defendant has filed timely objections to that order. The
22 23	with an amended tentative order filed on April 16, 2010 (Dkt. No. 66). Defendant has filed timely objections to that order. The time for filing a response to these objections has expired, and

1

1 6(a) effected December 1, 2009.

First, defendant seeks to expand upon the "Non-Discovery Motions" section, to include substantially more detail from the court's order on summary judgment filed Feb. 4, 2010. Defendant has accurately quoted that order, and the quoted passages will narrow the issues for trial. That reaffirmed, the court sees no reason to further duplicate these holdings in the pretrial order.

8 Defendant next abandons the affirmative defenses of "waiver 9 of breach" and "laches," enumerated as points of law (f) and (j) 10 in the amended tentative order. These defenses are omitted, and 11 the remaining issues are renumbered accordingly. Per defendant's 12 request, the court adds the defense of "speculative damages."

13 Defendant then seeks to strike one witness and to amend its 14 exhibit lists. These requests are granted.

Because the trial will be to the court, the court deletes the first section XVII(B) and section XVII(C) from the amended tentative pretrial order.

In the Feb. 4, 2010 Order, the court held that plaintiff is not entitled to punitive damages. Accordingly, the court strikes the amended tentative pretrial order's statement that punitive damages will be tried separately (section XX).

In accordance with the recent amendments to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a), parties shall file their proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law no later than <u>fourteen (14)</u> days prior to the first date of trial. The amended tentative order included conflicting statements on this issue. Section XVII(B) is amended

1	to reflect the fourteen day period, and the court deletes the
2	second paragraph of section XXIV. (Am. Tent. Pretrial Order at
3	31:15-17). Similarly, the parties shall exchange copies of their
4	exhibits not later than <b>fourteen (14)</b> days from the date of the
5	final pretrial order, and each party is then granted <b>fourteen (14)</b>
6	days to serve on opposing counsel any objections to said exhibits.
7	The court will separately issue a final pretrial order
8	reflecting these changes.
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	DATED: May 10, 2010.
11	
12	abourse K Karlton
13	LÀWRENCE K. KARLTON
14	SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	3