| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6 | MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP Henry Weissmann (SB# 132418) James C. Rutten (SB# 201791) Jeremy S. Kroger (SB# 258956) 355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 (213) 683-9100; (213) 687-3702 (fax)  Attorneys for Defendant VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS INC. |  |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| 7                          |  |  |
| 8                          | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |  |
| 9                          | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |  |
| 10                         | BANK OF STOCKTON,  | CASE NO. 2:08-CV-02257-JAM-GGH           |
| 11                         | Plaintiff,   | ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S               |
| 12                         | VS.  | MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND ENTERING |
| 13<br>14                   | VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS INC.,   | FINAL JUDGMENT                           |
| 15                         | Defendant.   |  |
| 16                         |  |  |
| 17                         |  |  |
| 18                         |  |  |
| 19                         |  |  |
| 20                         |  |  |
| 21                         |  |  |
| 22                         |  |  |
| 23                         |  |  |
| 24                         |  |  |
| 25                         |  |  |
| 26                         |  |  |
| 27                         |  |  |
| 28                         |  |  |
| ļ                          |  |  |

| 1  | On March 25, 2009, Defendant Verizon Communications Inc.'s Motion for Summary                               |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2  | Judgment, or in the Alternative, To Dismiss Certain Claims and To Stay Others came on for                   |  |  |
| 3  | hearing in the above-captioned Court, the Honorable John A. Mendez presiding. The Court,                    |  |  |
| 4  | having considered the papers and arguments submitted in support of and in opposition to the                 |  |  |
| 5  | motion, hereby GRANTS the motion for summary judgment as to all claims alleged in the                       |  |  |
| 6  | Complaint, for the reasons stated by the Court on the record at the hearing. The Court finds that           |  |  |
| 7  | Plaintiff has failed to carry its burden under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 and <i>Celotex Corp</i> . |  |  |
| 8  | v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317 (1986), of submitting admissible evidence creating a genuine issue of              |  |  |
| 9  | material fact as to the existence of actual damages, an essential element of all the claims alleged         |  |  |
| 10 | in the Complaint. Final judgment for Defendant is hereby ENTERED.   |  |  |
| 11 |   |  |  |
| 12 |   |  |  |
| 13 | IT IS SO ORDERED:   |  |  |
| 14 | Dated: 4/6/2009  /s/ John A. Mendez  The Honorable John A. Mendez   |  |  |
| 15 | United States District Court Judge  |  |  |
| 16 | Submitted by:   |  |  |
| 17 | MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  |  |  |
| 18 | By: /s/ James C. Rutten   |  |  |
| 19 | James C. Rutten   |  |  |
| 20 | Attania and Can Defendant Manipan   |  |  |
| 21 | Attorneys for Defendant Verizon Communications Inc.   |  |  |
| 22 |   |  |  |
| 23 | Approved as to form by:   |  |  |
| 24 | LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM W. PALMER  |  |  |
| 25 | By: /s/ William W. Palmer William W. Palmer   |  |  |
| 26 | Attorneys for Plaintiff Bank of   |  |  |
| 27 | Stockton  |  |  |
| 28 |   |  |  |
| I  | ı   |  |  |