I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	RAHEED AHMAD HANIF,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:08-cv-2306 JFM (PC)
12	VS.
13	SOLANO COUNTY JAIL MEDICAL STAFF, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a former county jail inmate proceeding pro se with a civil rights action
17	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding before a United States Magistrate Judge
18	with the consent of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). See Consents filed March 16,
19	2009 and October 6, 2008.
20	By order filed June 4, 2009, this action was stayed due to plaintiff's transfer to
21	Napa State Hospital. The June 4, 2009 order provided that plaintiff or defendants or both might
22	file a request to lift the stay within six months from the date of the order or upon plaintiff's
23	transfer from Napa State Hospital to a correctional facility, whichever was is sooner. Plaintiff
24	has not filed anything in this action since it was stayed.
25	On March 8, 2010, the Solano County Sheriff filed notice that plaintiff had been
26	released on bail. On August 12, 2010, defendants filed a request to reopen the action to permit
	1

them to file a motion for summary judgment. By order filed August 27, 2010, the stay was lifted
 and plaintiff was ordered to show cause in writing why this action should not be dismissed due
 to plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. On September 8, 2010, the
 copy of the August 27, 2010 order that was served on plaintiff was returned undelivered.

5 By order filed September 15, 2010, defendants were directed to forthwith inquire 6 whether plaintiff provided an address when he posted bail, and notify the court of the results of 7 their inquiry. On September 16, 2010, defendants filed a response to the September 15, 2010 8 order, in which they provide both an address in Richmond, California provided by plaintiff to jail 9 officials on or about February 25, 2010 in connection with his release from jail, and an address 10 in Vallejo, California provided by plaintiff at the time he was booked into jail.

By order filed September 23, 2010, the Clerk of the Court was directed to serve a copy of the court's August 27, 2010 order to show cause on plaintiff at both addresses provided by defendants. In the same order plaintiff was directed to, within twenty days, file and serve a notice of change of address. Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to comply with the order would result in the dismissal of this action. Plaintiff has not filed a notice of change of address or responded in any way to the court's September 23, 2010 order.

Accordingly, good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action
is dismissed for plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local
Rules 182(f) and 110.

20 DATED: October 21, 2010.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 hani2306.33a

21

22

23

24

2