1	LAWRENCE BROWN	
2	Acting United States Attorney AUDREY B. HEMESATH	
3	Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100	
4	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2729	
5	Attorneys for Defendants	
6		
7		<b>CS DISTRICT COURT FOR THE RICT OF CALIFORNIA</b>
8	EASTERN DIST	MCI OF CALIFORNIA
9	SATNAM SINGH,	) No. 2:08-CV-2366 FCD EF
10	Plaintiff,	<ul> <li>FOURTH JOINT STIPULA</li> <li>ORDER RE: EXTENSION</li> </ul>
11	v.	)
11 12	MICHAEL CHERTOFF, SECRETARY	) ) )
		) ) ) ) )

## No. 2:08-CV-2366 FCD EFB

## FOURTH JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF TIME

This is an immigration case in which plaintiff has challenged the delay in the execution of his I-824 application and the processing of his family's immigrant visa applications by the United States Department of State and the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services. The parties have previously stipulated to three extensions of time, until August 14, 2009, for the government to file its answer to the complaint. At the time of the filing of the last extension request, consular processing of the applications had progressed but not been completed. As of the time of this filing, the Department of State is awaiting further documentation from the applicants. It is anticipated that this documentation will be submitted and the processing completed within an additional 60 days. Because of the likelihood that this matter will shortly be resolved on the administrative level, the parties stipulate to a fourth extension of time, until October 15, 2009, for the filing of the government's answer.

27 ///

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

111 28

1 2	Dated: August 11, 2009 LAWRENCE BROWN Acting United States Attorney	
3		
4	By: <u>/s/Audrey Hemesath</u>	
5	Audrey B. Hemesath Assistant U.S. Attorney Attorneys for the Defendants	
6	Attorneys for the Defendants	
7	By: <u>/s/ Jagdip S. Sekhon</u> Jagdip S. Sekhon	
8	Jagdip S. Sekhon Attorney for the Plaintiffs	
9		
10		
11		
12	<u>ORDER</u>	
13	Pursuant to this Joint Stipulation and for the reasons stated therein, IT IS HEREBY	
14	ORDERED that the defendants' Answer is due on October 15, 2009.	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16	DATED: August 14, 2009	
17	/man mm	
18	(FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	-2-	