1	
1	
2	
3 4	
4	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	FRANCISCO JAVIER CARRANZA,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-08-2479 MCE KJM P
12	VS.
13	JAMES WALKER
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
15	<i>'</i> /
15 16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of
	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
16	
16 17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
16 17 18	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.
16 17 18 19	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On May 13, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
16 17 18 19 20	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On May 13, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on petitioner. Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and
 16 17 18 19 20 21 	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On May 13, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on petitioner. Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On May 13, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on petitioner. Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On May 13, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on petitioner. Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On May 13, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on petitioner. Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. On May 13, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on petitioner. Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed May 13, 2009, are adopted in full;
2	2. Petitioner's motion for a stay (Docket No. 8) is denied;
3	3. The claims identified as claims 5 and 6 in petitioner's petition for writ of
4	habeas corpus are dismissed for failure to exhaust state court remedies;
5	4. Respondent is directed to file his response to claims 1-4 in petitioner's
6	application for writ of habeas corpus within thirty days; and
7	5. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this order together with a copy of
8	petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 on Michael
9	Patrick Farrell, Senior Assistant Attorney General.
10	Dated: July 16, 2009
11	Moun It. i.
12	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17 18	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I