

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 CHADERICK A. INGRAM,

No. CIV S-08-2490 FCD DAD PS

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH
14 SCHOOL DISTRICT, et al.,

ORDER

15 Defendants.
_____ /

16 This matter came before the court on January 16, 2009 for hearing of defendants'
17 November 19, 2008 motions to dismiss plaintiff's first amended complaint and strike portions of
18 the complaint. Plaintiff Chaderick A. Ingram, proceeding pro se, appeared on his own behalf.
19 Jason M. Sherman, Esq. appeared telephonically for the defendants.

20 Plaintiff made an oral request for an extension of time to seek counsel and to
21 oppose defendants' motions. In the interests of justice, plaintiff's request was granted and the
22 hearing was continued to March 6, 2009, as set forth more fully below.

23 IT IS ORDERED that:

24 1. The hearing of defendants' November 19, 2008 motions to dismiss (Doc. No.
25 17) and to strike (Doc. No. 19) are continued to **March 6, 2009, at 10:00 a.m.** in Courtroom 27
26 before the undersigned. Any party may appear at the hearing telephonically. To arrange

1 telephonic appearance, the party shall contact Pete Buzo, at (916) 930-4128, no later than 4:00
2 p.m. on March 4, 2009.

3 2. Plaintiff's opposition or statement of non-opposition to both motions shall be
4 filed on or before February 20, 2009. Plaintiff's opposition or statement of non-opposition shall
5 be served on defendants' counsel by mail, and proof of such service shall be attached to the
6 opposition or statement of non-opposition filed with the court.

7 3. Defendants' reply to any opposition filed by plaintiff shall be filed and served
8 on or before February 27, 2009.

9 DATED: January 16, 2009.

10
11 
12 _____
DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13 DAD:kw
14 DDad1\orders.prose\ingram2490.oah.cont
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26