1

2

3 4

5

6

7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9

8

10 MICHAEL SMITH,

v.

NO. CIV. S-08-2492 LKK/JFM

11 Plaintiff,

12

13

16

17

18

R. STOVALL, et al.,

14

Defendants.

ORDER

15

Pending before the court is a motion by defendants to dismiss the case for plaintiff's alleged failure to obey a court order.

On September 23, 2010, Magistrate Judge Moulds issued an order awarding expenses to defendant for plaintiff's failure to attend a video deposition scheduled for May 27, 2010. The magistrate judge awarded defendants \$950 in expenses. ECF No. 59. Plaintiff has not 22 paid the expenses. At the trial confirmation hearing on September 23 12, 2011, defendants requested clarification of when the payment of expenses was due. This court referred the request for 24 the 25 clarification to the magistrate judge. On November 7, 2011, 26 ∥Magistrate Judge Moulds issued an order stating: "The award of

expenses ordered by this court gave rise to a monetary obligation owed by plaintiff to defendants. That obligation arose at the time this court's order became final. The award is in the nature of a judgment, albeit not yet a final one, enforceable like any other monetary judgment entered in a federal court." ECF No. 77.

A trial in this matter is currently set for November 29, 2011.

Plaintiff has not yet filed an opposition to the motion to dismiss.

Accordingly, the court ORDERS as follows:

- [1] The trial date is VACATED, subject to be reset after the court rules on the pending Motion to Dismiss.
- [2] Plaintiff is ORDERED to file an opposition to the Motion to Dismiss within ten (10) days of the issuance of this order. IT IS SO ORDERED.

SENIOR JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DATED: November 15, 2011.