(HC) Cejas v	v. Yates	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7		
8	INI TI IE	E UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	ANDREW A. CEJAS,	
10		No. 2:08-cv-2494 KJM EFB P
	Petitioner,	NO. 2:06-CV-2494 KJW EFB P
12	VS.	
13	JAMES A. YATES,	ODDED
14	Respondent.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		
16	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to	
17	28 U.S.C. § 2254. On September 26, 2012, the assigned district judge adopted the undersigned's	
18	recommendation that respondent's motion to dismiss be granted and all claims in the amended	
19	petition, with the exception of petitioner's instructional error claim, be dismissed. In the same	
20	order, the district judge also denied petitioner's request for a certificate of appealability as	
21	premature.	
22	On October 29, 2012, petitioner filed another request for a certificate of appealability.	
23	Dckt. No. 86. In his request, petitioner explains that he only wishes to appeal the claims that	
24	have been dismissed and that he will only seek to appeal the remaining claim once it is denied.	
25	Id.	
26	////	
		1

Doc. 87

Rule 11 of the Rules Governing Section 2242 Cases provides that "[t]he district court must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a *final* order adverse to the applicant." (emphasis added). While the court has dismissed some of petitioner's claims, a final order adverse to petitioner has not been entered in this case. Accordingly, the court need not issue a certificate of appealability at this time.

This does not mean, however, that petitioner will be foreclosed from later appealing the court's September 26, 2012 order dismissing some of his claims. This is because an interlocutory order dismissing some claims will merge with the final judgment (once it is entered) and may be challenged on an appeal from that judgment. *City of Los Angeles, Harbor Division v. Santa Monica Baykeeper*, 254 F.3d 882, 889 n.1 (9th Cir. 2001). Accordingly, petitioner's motion for a certificate of appealability is denied as premature.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 1, 2012.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE