1	DENNIS HALSEY, ESQ. SBN 66091		
י ר	Law Offices of Dennis Halsey 9 Highland Circle		
2	Chico, CA 95926 (530) 345-1976		
4 5	Attorney for defendants Jack Martin, Mark Hendry, Bon Kraemer, Leigh McDaniel		
6	Ben Kraemer, Leigh McDaniel, and Vangie Porras		
0 7			
8			
0 9	United States District Court Eastern District of California, Sacramento		
10		r Camorina, Sacramento	
11	FRANCISCO FLORES,	Case No. 2:08-cv-02499-GEB-JFM	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND	
13	VS.	ORDER MODIFYING STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING) ORDER	
14		Trial Date: August 23, 2011	
15		Discovery Cut Off: February 18, 2010	
16			
17			
18	CHRIS VON KLEIST, ET AL.,		
19	Defendants.		
20		_	
21	The parties to this lawsuit, having reco	eived and considered the Court's Order Granting and	
22	Denying in Part Defendants' Motions for Sun	nmary Judgment, believe it is in their best interest to	
23	settle this case. In that regard counsel are discussing the terms and conditions of a proposed		
24	settlement. That process is on going, and may take several days or more to conclude. Once a		
25	proposed settlement agreement is reached, counsel must present the proposal to Plaintiff, and to		
26	the Board of Directors of Golden State Risk Management Authority, to Superintendent Chris Von		
27	Kleist, and to the past Board and current Board	rd of Trustees of the Orland Unified School District.	
28			

1 Convening meetings of those Boards takes time.

2	Presently there are pending the deposition	on of plaintiff, depositions of treating psychologist	
3	and physician, and plaintiff's economist; and pr	robably additional fact witnesses and expert	
4	witnesses. Defendants have four discovery motions set for October 7. Defendants anticipate filing		
5	additional discovery motions, and a motion to appointment a Special Master to act as Discovery		
6	Referee, and to extend discovery cut off. Discovery cut off is currently set for October 20.		
7	In order to negotiate and to approve a settlement in this case, the current date for discovery		
8	cut off, the final date for other motions, and probably the Final Pre Trial conference are too near.		
9	The attorneys believe, and request, that the Status (PreTrial Scheduling) Order should be vacated		
10	and new dates set if the case does not settle.		
11	Therefore, it is hereby stipulated between the parties, by and through their respective		
12	attorneys, that the current Status (PreTrial Scheduling) Order be vacated, and a new order be made		
13	if the parties are not able to reach agreement in the next ninety days.		
14			
15	<u></u>	s/ Dennis Halsey	
16		Dennis Halsey, Esq. Attorney for defendants Jack Martin, Mark Hendry,	
17	В	en Kraemer, Leigh McDaniel, and Vangie Porras	
18	<u></u>	/ Leonard G. Krup, Esq.	
19		eonard G. Krup, Esq. ttorney for defendant Chris Von Kleist	
20		James E. McGlamery, Esq.	
21		ttorney for plaintiff Francisco J. Flores	
22	ORDER		
23	Based on the parties' representations that they desire to determine whether they can settled		
24			
25	this case, the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Order is modified as follows: the discovery completion		
26	date is February 18, 2011; the last law and motion hearing date is April 18, 2011, commencing at		
27	9:00 a.m.; the final pretrial conference will commence at 1:30 p.m. on June 13, 2011; and trial		
28			
	Stip & (Proposed) Order Vac Status Conf Order	-2-	

1	shall commence at 9:00 a.m. on August 23, 2012.
2	Detail. Soutomber 16, 2010
3	Dated: September 16, 2010
4	Janka E. Finnelf
5	GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. United States District Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Stip & (Proposed) Order Vac Status Conf Order -3-