1	PATRICIA L. HURST (DC Bar No. 438882) PETER KRZYWICKI (MI Bar No. 75723)	
2	DAVIS FORSYTHE (MA Bar No. 667115)	
3	Environmental Enforcement Section PAUL CIRINO (NY Bar No. 2777464)	
4	Environmental Defense Section Environment & Natural Resources Division	
5	U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611	
6	Washington, DC 20044 (202) 307-1242 / (202) 514-0097	
7	patricia.hurst@usdoj.gov peter.krzywicki@usdoj.gov	
8	davis.forsythe@usdoj.gov paul.cirino@usdoj.gov	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
10	Additional Counsel listed on following page.	
11		DISTRICT COURT
12	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	SACRAMENTO DIVISION	
14		
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC	Case No. 2:08-cv-02556- MCE-CKD
16	SUBSTANCES CONTROL,	STIPULATION AND ORDER STAYING DEADLINES FOR LITIGATION OF
17	Plaintiffs,	ENFORCMENT COSTS TO ALLOW FOR SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS
18	VS.	Trial Date: Not Scheduled
19	STERLING CENTRECORP INC., STEPHEN P.	Judge: Hon. Morrison C. England, Jr.
20	ELDER, and ELDER DEVELOPMENT, INC.,	[Complaint Filed: October 27, 2008]
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Staying Deadlines for Litigation of Enforcement Costs to Allow for Settlement Discussions; Case No. 2:08-cv-02556-MCE-JFM	
	Discussions; Case No. 2	:08-cv-02556-MCE-JFM Dockets.Justia

1	JOHN W. EVERETT (CA Bar No. 259481) Deputy Attorney General
2,	California Department of Justice Office of the Attorney General
3	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
4.	San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 738-9305
5	john.everett@doj.ca.gov
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff California Department of Toxic Substances Control
7	
8	Gary J. Smith (CA Bar No. 141393) (gsmith@bdlaw.com)
9	Kaitlyn D. Shannon (CA Bar No. 296735) (kshannon@bdlaw.com)
10)	BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND, P.C. 456 Montgomery Street, Suite 1800
11	San Francisco, CA 94104-1251 (415) 262-4000 / (415) 262-4040
12	Attorneys for Defendant
13	Sterling Centrecorp Inc.
14	STIPULATION STAYING DEADLINES FOR LITIGATION OF ENFORCEMENT COSTS
15	TO ALLOW FOR SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS
15 16	TO ALLOW FOR SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WHEREAS, on March 25, 2009, the Court entered the Bifurcation Order (ECF No. 26)
16	WHEREAS, on March 25, 2009, the Court entered the Bifurcation Order (ECF No. 26)
16 17	WHEREAS, on March 25, 2009, the Court entered the Bifurcation Order (ECF No. 26) separating the discovery and trial of defendants' liability ("Phase I") from the discovery and trial on
16 17 18	WHEREAS, on March 25, 2009, the Court entered the Bifurcation Order (ECF No. 26) separating the discovery and trial of defendants' liability ("Phase I") from the discovery and trial on plaintiffs' entitlement to response costs ("Phase II"), and;
16 17 18 19	WHEREAS, on March 25, 2009, the Court entered the Bifurcation Order (ECF No. 26) separating the discovery and trial of defendants' liability ("Phase I") from the discovery and trial on plaintiffs' entitlement to response costs ("Phase II"), and; WHEREAS, on September 8, 2015, the Court signed a Stipulation and Order (ECF No. 244)
16 17 18 19 20	WHEREAS, on March 25, 2009, the Court entered the Bifurcation Order (ECF No. 26) separating the discovery and trial of defendants' liability ("Phase I") from the discovery and trial on plaintiffs' entitlement to response costs ("Phase II"), and; WHEREAS, on September 8, 2015, the Court signed a Stipulation and Order (ECF No. 244) providing that (a) on or before 60 days after the conclusion of the Phase II trial, Plaintiffs United
16 17 18 19 20 21	WHEREAS, on March 25, 2009, the Court entered the Bifurcation Order (ECF No. 26) separating the discovery and trial of defendants' liability ("Phase I") from the discovery and trial on plaintiffs' entitlement to response costs ("Phase II"), and; WHEREAS, on September 8, 2015, the Court signed a Stipulation and Order (ECF No. 244) providing that (a) on or before 60 days after the conclusion of the Phase II trial, Plaintiffs United States of America and California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("Plaintiffs") shall jointly
16) 17 18) 19) 20) 21 22,	WHEREAS, on March 25, 2009, the Court entered the Bifurcation Order (ECF No. 26) separating the discovery and trial of defendants' liability ("Phase I") from the discovery and trial on plaintiffs' entitlement to response costs ("Phase II"), and; WHEREAS, on September 8, 2015, the Court signed a Stipulation and Order (ECF No. 244) providing that (a) on or before 60 days after the conclusion of the Phase II trial, Plaintiffs United States of America and California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("Plaintiffs") shall jointly file a motion seeking enforcement costs, which are a subset of the response costs being litigated in
<ol> <li>16i</li> <li>17i</li> <li>18i</li> <li>19i</li> <li>20i</li> <li>21i</li> <li>22i</li> <li>23i</li> </ol>	WHEREAS, on March 25, 2009, the Court entered the Bifurcation Order (ECF No. 26) separating the discovery and trial of defendants' liability ("Phase I") from the discovery and trial on plaintiffs' entitlement to response costs ("Phase II"), and; WHEREAS, on September 8, 2015, the Court signed a Stipulation and Order (ECF No. 244) providing that (a) on or before 60 days after the conclusion of the Phase II trial, Plaintiffs United States of America and California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("Plaintiffs") shall jointly file a motion seeking enforcement costs, which are a subset of the response costs being litigated in this Phase II; (b) on or before 60 days after Plaintiffs' deadline to file a motion seeking enforcement
<ol> <li>16i</li> <li>17i</li> <li>18i</li> <li>19i</li> <li>20i</li> <li>21i</li> <li>22i</li> <li>23i</li> <li>24i</li> </ol>	WHEREAS, on March 25, 2009, the Court entered the Bifurcation Order (ECF No. 26) separating the discovery and trial of defendants' liability ("Phase I") from the discovery and trial on plaintiffs' entitlement to response costs ("Phase II"), and; WHEREAS, on September 8, 2015, the Court signed a Stipulation and Order (ECF No. 244) providing that (a) on or before 60 days after the conclusion of the Phase II trial, Plaintiffs United States of America and California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("Plaintiffs") shall jointly file a motion seeking enforcement costs, which are a subset of the response costs being litigated in this Phase II; (b) on or before 60 days after Plaintiffs' deadline to file a motion seeking enforcement costs, if Defendant Sterling Centrecorp Inc. ("Sterling") decides a response is necessary, Sterling
<ol> <li>16i</li> <li>17i</li> <li>18i</li> <li>19i</li> <li>20i</li> <li>21i</li> <li>22i</li> <li>23i</li> <li>24i</li> <li>25i</li> </ol>	WHEREAS, on March 25, 2009, the Court entered the Bifurcation Order (ECF No. 26) separating the discovery and trial of defendants' liability ("Phase I") from the discovery and trial on plaintiffs' entitlement to response costs ("Phase II"), and; WHEREAS, on September 8, 2015, the Court signed a Stipulation and Order (ECF No. 244) providing that (a) on or before 60 days after the conclusion of the Phase II trial, Plaintiffs United States of America and California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("Plaintiffs") shall jointly file a motion seeking enforcement costs, which are a subset of the response costs being litigated in this Phase II; (b) on or before 60 days after Plaintiffs' deadline to file a motion seeking enforcement costs, if Defendant Sterling Centrecorp Inc. ("Sterling") decides a response is necessary, Sterling shall file a response in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for enforcement costs; and (c) on or before 21
<ol> <li>16i</li> <li>17i</li> <li>18i</li> <li>19i</li> <li>20i</li> <li>21i</li> <li>22i</li> <li>23i</li> <li>24i</li> <li>25i</li> <li>26i</li> </ol>	WHEREAS, on March 25, 2009, the Court entered the Bifurcation Order (ECF No. 26) separating the discovery and trial of defendants' liability ("Phase I") from the discovery and trial on plaintiffs' entitlement to response costs ("Phase II"), and; WHEREAS, on September 8, 2015, the Court signed a Stipulation and Order (ECF No. 244) providing that (a) on or before 60 days after the conclusion of the Phase II trial, Plaintiffs United States of America and California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("Plaintiffs") shall jointly file a motion seeking enforcement costs, which are a subset of the response costs being litigated in this Phase II; (b) on or before 60 days after Plaintiffs' deadline to file a motion seeking enforcement costs, if Defendant Sterling Centrecorp Inc. ("Sterling") decides a response is necessary, Sterling shall file a response in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for enforcement costs; and (c) on or before 21 days after Sterling's deadline to file its response, if Plaintiffs decide a reply is necessary, Plaintiffs

No. 2:08-cv-02556-MCE-JFM

provided that (d) Sterling will have an opportunity to take discovery on Plaintiffs' enforcement costs following the Phase II trial until 60 days after Plaintiffs' deadline to file a motion seeking enforcement costs, however, Plaintiffs do not waive their right to assert any privilege or any objection that could apply to any part of Sterling's discovery request; and (e) Plaintiffs do not agree to submit their attorneys for depositions in this matter and do not waive their right to seek a protective order barring any depositions they deem objectionable;

WHEREAS, on September 19, 20 and 21, 2016, the Court granted all of Plaintiffs' motions for summary judgment (ECF Nos. 296, 297, 298), denied all of Defendant Sterling Centrecorp, Inc.'s motions for summary judgment (ECF Nos. 297, 298), and denied Plaintiffs' motion *in limine* as moot (ECF No. 299), and thus, resolved all matters that could have been tried in Phase II pursuant to the Parties' stipulation, leaving only the enforcement costs portion of Plaintiffs' response costs unresolved;

WHEREAS, under the Court's September 8, 2015 Order, Plaintiffs must file a motion seeking an award of enforcement costs no later than November 20, 2016, Sterling must file a response no later than January 19, 2017, and Plaintiffs must file a reply no later than February 9, 2017;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Sterling seek a stay of the briefing deadlines imposed by the Court's September 8, 2015 Order so that they may explore a negotiated resolution of Plaintiffs' enforcement costs; and

WHEREAS, on October 18, 2016, counsel for the United States of America, Patricia Hurst, contacted Defendant Stephen P. Elder, and explained to him what Plaintiffs and Sterling seek with this Stipulation and Proposed Order, and Mr. Elder did not oppose relief sought through this Stipulation and Proposed Order. Ms. Hurst emailed this Stipulation and Proposed Order to Mr. Elder the same day;

NOW THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Sterling (collectively "the Parties") hereby jointly stipulate and respectfully request that the Court order that the deadlines in the Court's September 8, 2015 Order are stayed for a period of 60 days from the Court's entry of this Order. During the stay -3-

1 the Parties shall not put on evidence of, or otherwise dispute, enforcement costs, and shall not seek 2 or be required to respond to discovery on enforcement costs. The stipulated schedule for briefing and 3 discovery related to Plaintiffs' motion for enforcement costs shall commence when the stay ends. 4 The stay does not alter Plaintiffs' right to assert any privilege or any objection that could apply to 5 Sterling's discovery, or Plaintiffs' right to seek a protective order barring any depositions they deem 6 objectionable. 7 SO STIPULATED. 8 9 For Plaintiff Department of Toxic Substances Control 10October 19, 2016 /s/ John W. Everett JOHN W. EVERETT 11 Deputy Attorney General 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 12 San Diego, CA 92101 13 For Defendant Sterling Centrecorp, Inc. 14. October 19, 2016 /s/ Gary J. Smith GARY J. SMITH 15 Beveridge & Diamond, P.C. 456 Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 16 San Francisco, CA 94104 17 Counsel for Plaintiff Department of Toxic Substances Control and Counsel for Defendant Sterling Centrecorp have authorized Plaintiff the United States of America to file this Stipulation on 18 behalf of these Parties. Plaintiff the United States of America will retain documents evidencing this authorization. 19 20 For Plaintiff United States of America 21 22 October 19, 2016 /s/ Patricia L. Hurst PATRICIA L. HURST 23 PETER KRZYWICKI DAVIS FORSYTHE 24 **Environmental Enforcement Section** PAUL CIRINO 25 **Environmental Defense Section Environment and Natural Resources Division** 26 United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 27 Washington, DC 20044 28 -4-Stipulation and Order Staying Deadlines for Litigation of Enforcement Costs to Allow for Settlement Discussions: Case No. 2:08-cv-02556-MCE-JFM

## ORDER

In view of this Stipulation, the Court finds that good cause exists for issuance of an Order that the deadlines in the Court's September 8, 2015 Order are stayed until December 21, 2016. During the stay, the Parties shall not put on evidence of, or otherwise dispute, enforcement costs, and shall not seek or be required to respond to discovery on enforcement costs. The stay does not alter Plaintiffs' right to assert any privilege or any objection that could apply to Sterling's discovery, or Plaintiffs' right to seek a protective order barring any depositions they deem objectionable.

The stipulated schedule for briefing and discovery related to Plaintiffs' motion for enforcement costs shall commence when the stay ends on December 21, 2016. Accordingly, if the enforcement costs portion of these proceedings remains unresolved at that time, Plaintiffs' deadline for filing a joint motion for such costs is February 21, 2017. Defendant Sterling's response, if any, to that motion must be filed not later than April 21, 2017 and Plaintiffs' reply is due on May 12, 2017.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 21, 2016

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-5-