1	
2	
3	
4 5	
6	
7	
, 8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	STEVEN ANTHONY CLEMENS,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-08-2588 EFB P
12	VS.
13	D.K. SISTO, Warden,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
	1
15	
15 16	/ Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. <i>See</i> 28
	/
16	/ Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. See 28
16 17	
16 17 18	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has requested that the court appoint counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <i>See Nevius v. Sumner</i> , 105 F.3d
16 17 18 19	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has requested that the court appoint counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <i>See Nevius v. Sumner</i> , 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). The court may appointment counsel at any stage of the proceedings "if
16 17 18 19 20	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has requested that the court appoint counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <i>See Nevius v. Sumner</i> , 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). The court may appointment counsel at any stage of the proceedings "if the interests of justice so require." <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3006A; <i>see also</i> , Rule 8(c), Rules Governing
16 17 18 19 20 21	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has requested that the court appoint counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <i>See Nevius v. Sumner</i> , 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). The court may appointment counsel at any stage of the proceedings "if the interests of justice so require." <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3006A; <i>see also</i> , Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has requested that the court appoint counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <i>See Nevius v. Sumner</i> , 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). The court may appointment counsel at any stage of the proceedings "if the interests of justice so require." <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3006A; <i>see also</i> , Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at this stage of the proceedings.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has requested that the court appoint counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <i>See Nevius v. Sumner</i> , 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). The court may appointment counsel at any stage of the proceedings "if the interests of justice so require." <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3006A; <i>see also</i> , Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at this stage of the proceedings.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has requested that the court appoint counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <i>See Nevius v. Sumner</i> , 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). The court may appointment counsel at any stage of the proceedings "if the interests of justice so require." <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3006A; <i>see also</i> , Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at this stage of the proceedings.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has requested that the court appoint counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <i>See Nevius v. Sumner</i> , 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). The court may appointment counsel at any stage of the proceedings "if the interests of justice so require." <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3006A; <i>see also</i> , Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at this stage of the proceedings. <i>////</i>

1	On January 27, 2010, petitioner also filed a motion to proceed in forma pauperis,
2	apparently in support of his motion for appointment of counsel. ¹ That motion is denied as
3	unnecessary.
4	Accordingly, it hereby is ORDERED that:
5	1. Petitioner's January 27, 2010 motions for appointment of counsel is denied without
6	prejudice; and
7	2. Petitioner's January 27, 2010 motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied.
8	DATED: February 3, 2010.
9	Elmind F. Bieman
10	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	¹ Petitioner paid the filing fee in this action on July 15, 2008.
	2

I

I