1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MALIK JONES,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-2607 MCE KJM P
12	VS.
13	J. MCGUIRE, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	On July 13, 2010, plaintiff was ordered to complete and return, within twenty
17	days, the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on defendants Lipton, Bainbridge and
18	Follosco. More than twenty days have passed and plaintiff has not submitted the required
19	documents.
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed
21	without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
22	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States
23	District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within
24	twenty-one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file
25	written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings
26	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified
	1

1	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
2	(9th Cir. 1991).
2	DATED: August 20, 2010.
4	MILD. Mugust 20, 2010.
5	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	jone2607.fusm
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2