1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	BERLAN LYNELL DICEY,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:08-cv-2608 JAM JFM (PC)
12	VS.
13	W.R. HARRISON, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to
17	42 U.S.C. § 1983. On September 1, 2009, defendants filed a motion to dismiss this action
18	pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). Plaintiff did not timely file an opposition
19	to the motion to dismiss and by order filed October 9, 2009 he was directed to file within thirty
20	days either an opposition to the motion or a statement of non-opposition. On October 13, 2009,
21	plaintiff filed a motion for an extension of time to file an opposition, which was granted by order
22	filed October 30, 2009. On December 11, 2009, a document filed by plaintiff was docketed as an
23	opposition to the motion to dismiss. On December 17, 2009, defendants filed a declaration of
24	counsel in which counsel avers that defendants have never received an opposition to their
25	motion. On January 19, 2010, plaintiff filed a document in which he seeks direction from the
26	court as to whether he should refile an opposition that he represents he submitted to prison
L	

1

1	officials for mailing on November 18, 2009. Review of the document filed by plaintiff on
2	December 11, 2009 demonstrates that it is not an opposition to the motion to dismiss but an
3	inquiry as to whether plaintiff's opposition was received. Good cause appearing, plaintiff will be
4	given one additional period of thirty days in which to file and serve his opposition to defendants'
5	motion to dismiss. Defendants' reply, if any, shall be filed and served not later than seven days
6	thereafter.
7	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
8	1. The Clerk of the Court is directed to edit docket entry # 30 to reflect that it is
9	an inquiry from plaintiff whether his opposition was received;
10	2. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of this order to file and serve an
11	opposition to defendants' September 1, 2009 motion to dismiss; and
12	3. Defendants' reply, if any, shall be filed and served not later than seven days
13	thereafter.
14	DATED: March 4, 2010.
15	APT MA PI
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	
18	12
19	dice2608.o2
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I