1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	KEVIN DECKER,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-2616 GEB KJM P
12	VS.
13	DEPUTY KENDRICKS, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS &amp; RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By order filed November 3, 2008, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty
17	days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired,
18	and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.
19	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned,
20	plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his
21	current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(f), service of documents at the
22	record address of the party is fully effective.
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed
24	without prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty
	1

days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. <u>Martinez v. Ylst</u>, 951
F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: January 29, 2009.

U.S. MAGISTRAT

deck2616.fta