

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY BRUCE WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-2617 GEB KJM F

VS.

DEPUTY KENDRICKS, et al.,

Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed November 3, 2008, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, as not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

25 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
26 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty

1 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
2 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3 Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
4 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
5 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 DATED: January 16, 2009.

7 
8 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 will2617.fta

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26