(PC) Roberts	s v. Cate et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	TONY ROBERTS,		
11	Plaintiff,	No. 2:08-cv-2624 JAM KJN P	
12	VS.		
13	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,		
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>	
15			
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action		
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
19	On November 9, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations		
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any		
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Neither		
22	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be		
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY		
25	ORDERED that:		
26	////		
		1	

Doc. 40

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed November 9, 2010, are adopted in
2	full.
3	2. Plaintiff's claims against defendant Marshall are dismissed without prejudice.
4	DATED: January 27, 2011
5	
6	/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	