1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL AARON JAYNE,	No. 2:08-cv-2767-TLN-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	TOM BOSENKO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. He again requests that the court appoint counsel. As plaintiff has been previously	
19	informed, see ECF Nos. 19, 80, 145, district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent	
20	indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298	
21	(1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily to	
22	represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017	
23	(9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). When	
24	determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider the likelihood of	

determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. *Palmer v. Valdez*, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009). Having considered those factors, the court finds there are no exceptional circumstances in this case.

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for appointment of
2	counsel (ECF No. 155) is denied.
3	DATED: August 21, 2014.
4	EDMUND F. BRENNAN
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	