(PC) Hicks v	v. Walker, et al
1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	MICHAEL J. HICKS,
10	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-2844 MCE KJM P
11	vs.
12	JAMES WALKER, Warden, et al.,
13	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
14	
15	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
16	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
17	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.
18	On May 29, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
19	which were served on plaintiff. Plaintiff has filed objections to the findings and
20	recommendations.
21	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
22	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
23	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
24	proper analysis.
25	/////
26	/////
	1

Doc. 17

## 

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed May 29, 2009, are adopted in full;
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Docket No. 14) is denied; and
- 3. Defendant Walker is dismissed from the action.

Dated: July 17, 2009

MORRISON C. ENGLAND JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE