| 1 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | LEON COOPER, | | |
| 11 | Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-2856 GGH P | | |
| 12 | vs. | | |
| 13 | DR. McALPINE, et al., | | |
| 14 | Defendants. <u>ORDER</u> | | |
| 15 | / | | |
| 16 | Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to | | |
| 17 | 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Trial is scheduled for February 28, 2011. On February 11, 2011, defendants | | |
| 18 | filed a motion in limine to exclude plaintiff from offering expert testimony on medical matters at | | |
| 19 | trial. There is a wide array of medical testimony that plaintiff could conceivably offer at trial. | | |
| 20 | Some of this evidence may involve expert opinions that are not admissible while other evidence | | |
| 21 | may involve plaintiff discussing his medical condition that will be admissible. Therefore, | | |
| 22 | defendants' motion in limine is denied without prejudice and defendants may raise objections to | | |
| 23 | specific evidence at trial as they arise. | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| | 1 | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants' motion in limine (Doc. 46) is denied | | |
|----------|--|----------------------------|--|
| 2 | without prejudice and defendants may raise objections to specific evidence at trial as they arise. | | |
| 3 | | egory G. Hollows | |
| 4 | 4 | GORY G. HOLLOWS | |
| 5 | 5 UNIT | ED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 6 | 6 GGH: AB coop2856.ord | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | 8 | | |
| 9 | 9 | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 17 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| | 2 | | |
| | | | |