(HC) Manago v. Walker	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	STEWART MANAGO,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-08-2857 GEB DAD P
12	vs.
13	JAMES WALKER, Warden,
14	Respondent. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
15	
16	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas
17	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On March 2, 2009, respondent filed a motion to dismiss.
18	Petitioner has not filed an opposition to the motion. Local Rule 78-230(m) provides in part:
19	"Failure of the responding party to file written opposition or to file a statement of no opposition
20	may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion"
21	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within twenty days of the
22	date of this order, petitioner shall file and serve an opposition to respondent's motion to dismiss
23	and shall show cause in writing why sanctions should not be imposed for the failure to file a
24	timely opposition. In the alternative, if petitioner no longer wishes to proceed with this matter,
25	
26	
<u>ll</u>	

Doc. 16

1	he may file a request to dismiss this action without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the
2	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
3	DATED: April 17, 2009.
4	2
5	Dale A. Dage
6	DALE A. DROZD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	DAD:9
8	mana2857.102
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
ı	