| (HC) Jackson | n v. Sisto  |
|--------------|---|
|              |   |
|              |   |
|              |   |
| 1            |   |
| 1            |   |
| 2            |   |
| 3            |   |
| 4            |   |
| 5            |   |
| 6            |   |
| 7            |   |
| 8            | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |
| 9            | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |
| 10           | LAMON E. JACKSON,   |
| 11           | Petitioner, No. 2:08-cv-2875 FCD JFM (HC)   |
| 12           | VS.   |
| 13           | D.K. SISTO, Warden,   |
| 14           | Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>  |
| 15           |   |
| 16           | Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of      |
| 17           | habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States      |
| 18           | Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.      |
| 19           | On May 26, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations                    |
| 20           | herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any  |
| 21           | objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither |
| 22           | party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.                             |
| 23           | The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be            |
| 24           | supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY   |
| 25           | ORDERED that:   |
| 26           |   |
|              | 1   |

Doc. 24

| 1  |
|----|
| 2  |
| 3  |
| 4  |
| 5  |
| 6  |
| 7  |
| 8  |
| 9  |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
|    |

25

26

1. The findings and recommendations filed May 26, 2009, are adopted in full;

2. Respondent's January 30, 2009 motion to dismiss this petition as successive is granted and this action is dismissed without prejudice.

DATED: July 13, 2009.

and

FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE