(PC) Arnold	v. Williams et al I	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	DI THE	INITED OTATES DISTRICT COLIDT
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COREY LOVELL ARNOLD,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-08-2886 MCE DAD P
12	VS.	
13	M. WILLIAMS, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action	
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.	
19	On October 28, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any	
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither	
22	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
25	ORDERED that:	
26	/////	
		1

Doc. 22

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26

1. The findings and recommendations filed October 28, 2009, are adopted in full;

and

2. Defendant's April 30, 2009 motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 13) is granted.

Dated: December 23, 2009

MORRISON C. ENGLAND) JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE