

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROGER ARDEN ELSETH; PATRICIA ANN  
ELSETH; and ALLEN ELSETH by his  
guardian ad litem ROGER ARDEN  
ELSETH AND PATRICIA ANN ELSETH,

Plaintiffs,

v.

VERNON SPEIRS, Chief Probation  
Officer of the County of  
Sacramento; DAVID GORDON,  
Superintendent Sacramento  
County Department of  
Education; SHERIFF of the  
County of Sacramento,  
Deputy Sheriff TAM; Deputy  
Sheriff ALLENGUIRY; and  
DR. SAXTON, M.D.,

Defendants.

2:08-cv-2890-GEB-KJM

ORDER\*

Defendant David Gordon ("Gordon") moves for dismissal of  
Plaintiffs' only claim against him, arguing *inter alia* since the claim  
is alleged against him only in his official capacity as the Sacramento  
County Superintendent of Schools, he is shielded from this damage

---

\* This matter was determined to be suitable for decision without  
oral argument. L.R. 78-230(h).

1 claim under Eleventh Amendment immunity. Plaintiffs rejoin requesting  
2 leave to correct the nature of their suit against Gordon stating they  
3 should allege Gordon is sued in his "individual capacity." (Pls.  
4 Opp'n at 3:8-11). Since this claim only seeks damages against Gordon  
5 in his official capacity, Gordon's dismissal motion is granted. See  
6 Eaglesmith v. Ward, 73 F.3d 857, 859-60 (9th Cir. 1995) (holding a  
7 county superintendent of schools sued in his official capacity was  
8 entitled to Eleventh Amendment immunity because a California county  
9 office of education is a state agency).

10 Plaintiffs' request for leave to file a Second Amended  
11 Complaint in which they allege their claim against Gordon in his  
12 "individual capacity" is granted, provided the Second Amended  
13 Complaint is filed within ten days from the date on which this Order  
14 is filed.

15 Dated: April 9, 2009

16  
17   
18 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.  
United States District Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28