

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT J. WHITE,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-08-2972 KJM P

vs.

BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS,

ORDER AND

Respondent.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

By an order filed January 16, 2009, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma pauperis affidavit or pay the appropriate filing fees within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed an in forma pauperis affidavit or paid the appropriate filing fee.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case be assigned randomly to a district court judge.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty

1 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written  
2 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
3 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any reply to the objections  
4 shall be served and filed within ten days after service of the objections. The parties are advised  
5 that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District  
6 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

7 DATED: April 10, 2009.

8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
10 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11 <sup>2</sup>  
12 whit2972.fifp

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26