(PC) Farr v.	Solano County	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SOLOMON FARR,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-08-2974 GGH
12	VS.	
13	SOLANO COUNTY,	
14	Defendant.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		
16	By order filed January 29, 2009, the court granted plaintiff thirty days to file an	
17	amended complaint. In the January 29th order, the court informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in	
18	his complaint. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended	
19	complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
20	For the reasons given in the January 29, 2009, order, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED	
21	that this action is dismissed with prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
22	DATED: March 30, 2009	
23		/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
24		GREGORY G. HOLLOWS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	GGH:035 farr2974.fta	
26		
		1

Doc. 14