(HC) Orosco v. Sisto			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	LUIS CARDENAS OROSCO,		
12	Petitioner,	No. CIV S-08-3075-JAM-TJB	
13	VS.		
14	D. K. SISTO,		
15	Respondent.	<u>ORDER</u>	
16	/		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas		
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On November 29, 2010, the magistrate judge filed an order, findings and		
21	recommendations herein, which was served on all parties, and which contained notice to all		
22	parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-		
23	one days. Neither party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
24	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be		
25	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.		
26	///		
		1	

Doc. 25

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed November 29, 2010, are adopted in full;
3	2. Petitioner's application for writ of habeas corpus is DENIED;
4	3. Petitioner's claim for declaratory and injunctive relief is DENIED;
5	4. The Clerk is directed to close the case; and
6	5. The court declines to issue a certificate of appealability.
7	DATED: January 27, 2011
8	
9	/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	