I

1	
1 2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ANTHONY E. MACK,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-3161 KJM EFB P
12	VS.
13	TILTON, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18	Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
19	On December 6, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations,
20	which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the
21	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has filed
22	objections to the findings and recommendations.
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
24	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
25	entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and
26	by proper analysis.
	1

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	dopted in
1 Accordingly. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	dopted in
1 Accordingly. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	dopted in
	dopted in
2 1. The findings and recommendations filed December 6, 2010, are ad	
3 full; and	
4 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 41	(b); Local
5 Rule 110.	
6 DATED: March 23, 2011.	1
7 Mulle	
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JU	JDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24 25	
25	
20	