26

Where, as here, the petition was dismissed on procedural grounds, a certificate of appealability "should issue if the prisoner can show: (1) 'that jurists of reason would find it

Doc. 24

1	debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling'; and (2) 'that jurists of
2	reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a
3	constitutional right." Morris v. Woodford, 229 F.3d 775, 780 (9th Cir. 2000) (quoting Slack v.
4	McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).
5	After careful review of the entire record herein, this court finds that petitioner has
6	not satisfied the first requirement for issuance of a certificate of appealability in this case.
7	Specifically, there is no showing that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether this action
8	is time-barred. Accordingly, a certificate of appealability should not issue in this action.
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	DATED: August 31, 2009
11	
12	/s/ John A. Mendez
13	U. S. District Court Judge
14	/cald0008.coapro
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	