(HC) Coleman v. Sisto	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	WILLIE B. COLEMAN, III
12	Petitioner, No. CIV S-09-0020 DAD P
13	VS.
14	D. K. SISTO,
15	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
16	
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with an
18	application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has raised a
19	claim of ineffective assistance of trial counsel both before this court and in state court. In the
20	California Court of Appeal, petitioner requested an order to show cause on his claim of
21	ineffective assistance of trial counsel. In this court, he has requested issuance of the writ or any
22	other relief to which he may be entitled. In light of the complexity of the legal issues involving
23	petitioner's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, the court has determined that appointment
24	of counsel on behalf of petitioner is appropriate. See 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B); see also
25	Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983).
26	/////

Doc. 16

## 

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Federal Defender is appointed and is directed to locate appropriate counsel from the habeas panel to accept the appointment on behalf of petitioner.
- 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of the petition and this order on Carolyn Wiggin, Assistant Federal Defender.
- 3. Petitioner's counsel shall contact the Clerk's Office to make arrangements for copies of documents in the file.
  - 4. A status conference is set for January 14, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 27.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

5. All parties shall appear at the status conference by counsel and shall be prepared to discuss the scheduling of an evidentiary hearing with respect to petitioner's ineffective assistance of counsel claim.

DATED: November 8, 2010.

. |

16 DAD:8

coleman20.atty