

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIE B. COLEMAN, III

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-09-0020 DAD P

vs.

D. K. SISTO,

Respondent.

ORDER

_____ /

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has raised a claim of ineffective assistance of trial counsel both before this court and in state court. In the California Court of Appeal, petitioner requested an order to show cause on his claim of ineffective assistance of trial counsel. In this court, he has requested issuance of the writ or any other relief to which he may be entitled. In light of the complexity of the legal issues involving petitioner’s claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, the court has determined that appointment of counsel on behalf of petitioner is appropriate. See 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B); see also Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983).

////

