(PC) Bray v.	v. Mathis	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	CHRISTOPHER S. BRAY,	
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-0051 KJM P	
12	2 vs.	
13	MATHIS,	
14	4 Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>	
15	5/	
16	Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme	
17	Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent	
18	prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In	
19	certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel	
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <u>Terrell v. Brewer</u> , 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991);	
21	Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court	
22	does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's request for the appointment of	
23	counsel will therefore be denied.	
24	4 /////	
25	5 /////	
26	6 /////	
	1	

Doc. 15

4/kly bray0051.31

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel (Docket No. 13) is denied.

DATED: December 17, 2009.

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE