27 capacities, Defendants.

28

RTER SCOTT

INIVERSITY AVE., SUITE 200 SACRAMENTO, CA 95825 TEL: 916, 929,1481 FAX: 916, 927,3706

Defendant JAN SCULLY, in her official capacity as District Attorney for the County of Sacramento, hereby answers Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint as follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

1. Answering paragraphs 1, 2, 3 and 4, this answering Defendant contends that said paragraphs contain conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, this answering Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraphs.

I.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Answering paragraphs 5, 6 and 7, this answering Defendant contends that said paragraphs contain conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, this answering Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraphs.

II.

### **PARTIES**

- 3. Answering paragraphs 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, this answering Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to enable her to answer the allegations contained in said paragraph, and basing her denial on that ground, generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraphs.
- 4. Answering paragraph 15, this answering Defendant admits that Debra Bowen is the Secretary of State of California. Answering the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, this answering Defendant contends that said paragraph contains conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, this answering Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every remaining allegation in said paragraph.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 16, this answering Defendant admits that Edmund Brown, Jr., is the Attorney General of California. Answering the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, this answering Defendant contends that said paragraph contains

TER SCOTT ATTORNEYS conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, this answering Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every remaining allegation in said paragraph.

- 6. Answering Paragraphs 17 and 18, this answering Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to enable her to answer the allegations contained in said paragraphs, and basing her denial on that ground, generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraphs.
- 7. Answering paragraph 19, this answering Defendant admits that she is the duly elected District Attorney for Sacramento County, California. Answering the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, this answering Defendant contends that said paragraph contains conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, this answering Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraph.
- 8. Answering paragraphs 20 and 21, this answering Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to enable her to answer the allegations contained in said paragraphs, and basing her denial on that ground, generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraphs. In addition, Defendant contends that said paragraphs contain conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, this answering Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraphs.

#### III.

# **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

9. Answering paragraphs 22 and 23, Defendant contends that said paragraphs contain conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, this answering Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraphs.

4

5

6

3

7

9

8

1011

12 13

14 15

17

16

1819

2021

2223

24

2526

2728

PORTER | SCOTT ATTORNEYS 350 UNIVERSITY AVE., SUITE 200 SACRAMENTO, CA 95825 IV.

### **FACTS**

- 10. Answering paragraphs 24, 25, 26, 27 and 28, this answering Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to enable her to answer the remaining allegations contained in said paragraphs, and basing her denial on that ground, generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraphs.
- 11. Answering paragraphs 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34 and 35, this answering Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to enable her to answer the allegations contained in said paragraphs, and basing her denial on that ground, generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraphs. In addition, Defendant contends that said paragraphs contain conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, this answering Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraphs.
- 12. Answering paragraphs 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47 and 48, this answering Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to enable her to answer the allegations contained in said paragraphs, and basing her denial on that ground, generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraphs.
- 13. Answering paragraphs 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, this answering Defendant contends that said paragraphs contain conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, this answering Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraphs.

V.

#### COUNT 1

- 14. Answering paragraph 66, this answering Defendant incorporates by reference her responses to paragraphs 1 through 65 as though fully restated herein.
- 15. Answering paragraphs 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77 and 78 (including footnotes), this answering Defendant contends that said paragraphs contain

conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, this answering Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraphs.

16. Answering paragraphs 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84 and 85, this answering Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to enable her to answer the allegations contained in said paragraphs, and basing her denial on that ground, generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraphs. In addition, Defendant contends that said paragraphs contain conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, this answering Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraphs.

## **Prayer for Relief**

17. Answering paragraph 86 and its subparagraphs of Plaintiffs' Prayer for Relief, this answering Defendant contends that said paragraphs do not contain averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraphs.

#### VI.

#### **COUNT 2**

- 18. Answering paragraph 87, this answering Defendant incorporates by reference her responses to paragraphs 1 through 86 as though fully restated herein.
- 19. Answering paragraphs 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97 and 98, this answering Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to enable her to answer the allegations contained in said paragraphs, and basing her denial on that ground, generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraphs. In addition, Defendant contends that said paragraphs contain conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, this answering Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraphs.

///

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26 27

28

**Prayer for Relief** 

Answering paragraph 99 and its subparagraphs of Plaintiffs' Prayer for Relief, 20. this answering Defendant contends that said paragraphs do not contain averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraphs.

VII.

**COUNT 3** 

- Answering paragraph 100, this answering Defendant incorporates by reference 21. her responses to paragraphs 1 through 99 as though fully restated herein.
- Answering paragraphs 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109 and 110, 22. this answering Defendant contends that said paragraphs contain conclusions of law and not averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, this answering Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraphs.

**Prayer for Relief** 

Answering paragraph 111 and its subparagraphs of Plaintiff's Prayer for Relief, 23. this answering Defendant contends that said paragraphs do not contain averments of fact to which an answer may be required, but insofar as an answer may be deemed required, Defendant generally and specifically denies each and every allegation in said paragraphs.

VIII.

## **COUNT 4**

- Answering paragraph 112, this answering Defendant incorporates by reference 24. her responses to paragraphs 1 through 111 as though fully restated herein.
- Answering paragraphs 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122 and 25. 123, this answering Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to enable her to answer the allegations contained in said paragraphs, and basing her denial on that ground, generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraphs. In addition, Defendant contends that said paragraphs contain conclusions of law and not

California and therefore this action against her is without basis in law. 1 2 SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Plaintiffs' claims and each of them are barred on the grounds that Plaintiffs lack any 3 remedy and therefore the Court lacks jurisdiction over any such claims. 4 5 WHEREFORE, Defendant JAN SCULLY prays for judgment as follows: That Plaintiffs' action be dismissed: 1. 6 That Plaintiffs take nothing by way of their Complaint; 7 2. That Defendant be awarded her costs of suit, including attorney fees; and, 8 3. For such other relief as the Court deems proper. 9 4. 10 11 Respectfully submitted, Dated: June 15, 2009 PORTER SCOTT 12 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 13 /s/Terence J. Cassidy Terence J. Cassidy 14 Attorney for Defendant JAN SCULLY, District Attorney for 15 Sacramento County, California in her official capacity 16 17 18 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 19 Defendant JAN SCULLY hereby demands a trial by jury in the above-entitled action 20 as provided by the Seventh Amendment to the United States Constitution and Rule 38 of the 21 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 22 Respectfully submitted, 23 PORTER SCOTT Dated: June 15, 2009 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 24 /s/Terence J. Cassidy 25 Terence J. Cassidy Attorney for Defendant 26 JAN SCULLY, District Attorney for Sacramento County, California in her 27 official capacity 28

PORTER | SCOTT ATTORNEYS

350 UNIVERSITY AVE., SUITE 200 SACRAMENTO, CA 95825 TEL 916 939 1481