1 2	James Bopp, Jr. (Ind. State Bar No. 2838-84)* Richard E. Coleson (Ind. State Bar No. 11527-70)* Barry A. Bostrom (Ind. State Bar No.11912-84)*			
3	Sarah E. Troupis (Wis. State Bar No. 1061515)* Scott F. Bieniek (Ill. State Bar No. 6295901)*			
4	BOPP, COLESON & BOSTROM 1 South Sixth Street			
5	Terre Haute, IN 47807-3510 Telephone: (812) 232-2434			
6	Facsimile: (812) 235-3685 Counsel for All Plaintiffs			
7	Benjamin W. Bull (AZ Bar No. 009940)* ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND 15100 North 90th Street Scottsdale, Arizona 85260			
8				
9	Telephone: (480) 444-0020 Facsimile: (480) 444-0028			
10	Counsel for All Plaintiffs			
11	Timothy D. Chandler (Cal. State Bar No. 234325)** ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND			
12	101 Parkshore Drive, Suite 100 Folsom, CA 95630 Telephone: (916) 932-2850 Facsimile: (916) 932-2851 Counsel for All Plaintiffs * Admitted Pro Hac Vice ** Designated Counsel for Service			
13 14				
15				
16	United States District Court Eastern District of California Sacramento Division			
17				
18				
19	PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM, et al.,	Case No. 2:09-CV-00058-MCE-DAD		
20 21	Plaintiffs, vs. DEBRA BOWEN, et al.,	PLAINTIFFS' EX PARTE MOTION TO		
22		ADD PLAINTIFF NATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR MARRIAGE CALIFORNIA PAC		
23		Judge Morrison C. England, Jr.		
24	Defendants.	Judge Wornson C. England, Jr.		
25				
26				
27				
28				
		Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Motion to Add Plaintiff		

Plaintiffs ProtectMarriage.com—Yes on 8, a Project of California Renewal ("**ProtectMarriage.com**"), National Organization for Marriage—Yes on 8, Sponsored by National Organization for Marriage ("**NOM-California**"), and John Doe #1, an individual, and as a representative of the proposed Class of Major Donors ("**Major Donors**") hereby apply to the Court, ex parte, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 20 for leave to join NOM-California PAC as a Plaintiff in this lawsuit, and in support thereof state as follows:

- 1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 20(a)(1), "Persons may join in one action as plaintiffs if: (A) they assert any right to relief jointly, severally, or in the alternative with respect to or arising out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences; and (B) any question of law or fact common to all plaintiffs will arise in the action."
- 2. Proposed Plaintiff NOM-California PAC was formed on February 6, 2009.
- 3. NOM-California PAC has its principle place of business in Santa Ana, California.
- 4. NOM-California PAC is a general purpose committee pursuant to Cal. Gov't Code §§ 82013 and 82027.5.
- As a general purpose committee, NOM-California PAC intends to support and/or oppose candidates and/or ballot measures in future elections and is subject to registration and reporting requirements under the Political Reform Act of 1974, Cal. Gov't Code § 81000 et seq.
- 6. Specifically, NOM-California PAC intends to support candidates that share its view of marriage as between one man and one woman. NOM-California PAC also intends to support any ballot measure similar to Proposition 8 and oppose any measure that would overturn Proposition 8.
- 7. The constitutionality of the statutes challenged in this action will have a direct bearing on the activities of NOM-California PAC, making joinder appropriate in this case.
- 8. Furthermore, there are common questions of law and fact, namely, whether Plaintiffs are entitled to an as-applied exemption from the Political Reform Act of 1974, Cal. Gov't Code § 81000 *et seq.*, and whether portions of the Political Reform Act are

1	unconstitutional because they violate the First Amendment to the United States			
2	Constitution, as incorporated to the states by the Fourteenth Amendment.			
3	9.	Defendants would not be prejudiced by the	nis change because the claims asserted in the	
4		Complaint would not change as a result o	f the joinder of NOM-California PAC.	
5	10.	Plaintiffs have filed a Stipulation to Amer	nd Complaint concurrently with this motion,	
6		signed by all parties, consenting to the fil	ing of Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint,	
7	which reflects the joinder of proposed Plaintiff NOM-California PAC.			
8	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 20(a)(1), respectfully request leave to			
9	join Proposed Plaintiff NOM-California PAC.			
10				
11	Dated th	nis 20th day of May, 2009.		
12			Respectfully submitted,	
13				
14			/s/ Scott F. Bieniek	
15		in W. Bull (Ariz. State Bar No. 009940) CE DEFENSE FUND	James Bopp, Jr. (Ind. Bar No. 2838-84) Barry A. Bostrom (Ind. Bar No.11912-84)	
16	15100 North 90th Street Scottsdale, Arizona 85260		Sarah E. Troupis (Wis. Bar No. 1061515) Scott F. Bieniek (Ill. Bar No. 6295901)	
17		I for All Plaintiffs	BOPP, COLESON & BOSTROM 1 South Sixth Street	
18		y D. Chandler (Cal. Bar No. 234325) CE DEFENSE FUND	Terre Haute, IN 47807-3510 Counsel for All Plaintiffs	
19	101 Parkshore Drive, Suite 100 Folsom, CA 95630			
20	Counsel	I for All Plaintiffs ated Counsel for Service		
21	Designa	aca Codinior for Service		
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28			DL-1-496-1 E., D. 4 3.5 4 4 4 1 2 DL 4 40	
	I		Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Motion to Add Plaintiff	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I, Scott F. Bieniek, am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My		
3	business address is 1 South Sixth Street, Terre Haute, Indiana 47807.		
4	On May 20, 2009, I electronically filed the foregoing document described as Plaintiffs'		
5	Request to Exceed Page Limit, with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to:		
6			
7	Zackery P. Morazzini zackery.morazzini @doj.ca.gov Attorney for Defendants Debra Bowen and Edmund G. Brown, Jr.		
9 10	Judy W. Whitehurst jwhitehurst@counsel.lacounty.gov Attorney for Defendant Dean C. Logan		
11 12	Terence J. Cassidy tcassidy@porterscott.com Attorney for Defendant Jan Scully		
13 14	mollie.lee@sfgov.org Attorney for Defendants Dennis J. Herrera and Department of Elections - City and Count of San Francisco Lawrence T. Woodlock lwoodlock@fppc.ca.gov Attorney for Defendant Members of the Fair Political Practices Commission		
15 16			
17	I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Indiana that the above is		
18 19	true and correct. Executed on May 20, 2009.		
20 21 22	/s/ Scott F. Bieniek Scott F. Bieniek (Ill. State Bar No. 6295901) Counsel for All Plaintiffs		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Dlaintiffa' Ev Danta Matian to Add Dlaintif		