1	
2	
3	
4 5	
5	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	LACEDRIC W. JOHNSON,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:09-cv-0067 WBS KJN P
12	VS.
13	JAMES WALKER,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ
17	of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
19	On May 2, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
20	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to
21	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Petitioner has filed
22	objections to the findings and recommendations.
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
24	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
25	entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and
26	by proper analysis.
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed May 2, 2011, are adopted in full; and
3	2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and
4	3. Petitioner's motion for a certificate of appealability (Dkt. No. 31) is granted; a
5	certificate of appealability is issued pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253 and <u>Slack v. McDaniel</u> , 529
6	U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000); jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the failure of prison
7	officials to appoint a staff assistant is a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right.
8	DATED: July 14, 2011
9	million to shabe
10	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	/john0067.805hc
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
	2
l	

I