(PC) Garafolo v. M	artell		Doc. 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11			
12	VANJLIS GARAFOLO,	1:09-cv-00003 WMW (PC)	
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE	
14	vs.	SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	WARDEN MARTELL,		
16	Defendants.		
17	/		
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42		
19	U.S.C. § 1983.		
20	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged		
21	violations took place in Amador County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United		
22	States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have		
23	been filed in the Sacramento Division.		
24	Pursuant to Local Rule 3-120(b), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper		r
25	court may, on the court's own motion, I	be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action wil	1
26	be transferred to the Sacramento Division.		
27	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
28	1. This action is transferred to t	the United States District Court for the Eastern District of	
		-1-	

1	California sitting in Sacramento; and
2	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be
3	filed at:
4	United States District Court
5	Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200
6	Sacramento, CA 95814
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	Dated: January 8, 2009 /s/ William M. Wunderlich UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	