(HC) White v	/. Clark	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ADRIAN JOE WHITE, No. CIV S-09-0120 CMK P	
12	Petitioner,	
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>	
14	KEN CLARK, Warden,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of	
18	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma	
19	pauperis. In his application, petitioner challenges a conviction issued by the Fresno County	
20	Superior Court. Fresno County is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court	
21	for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 3-120(d).	
22	Pursuant to Local Rule 3-120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in	
23	the proper division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper	
24	division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the	
25	court. This court will not rule on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
26	///	
<u>l</u> l	ı	

Doc. 4

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis; 2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California sitting in Fresno; and 3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be filed at: **United States District Court** Eastern District of California 2500 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721 DATED: January 20, 2009 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE