

1 NICHOLS KASTER, PLLP
 Paul J. Lukas, MN Bar No. 22084X
 2 (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Rebekah L. Bailey, CA Bar No. 258551
 3 4600 IDS Center
 80 South Eighth Street
 4 Minneapolis, MN 55402
 Telephone: (612) 256-3200
 5 Facsimile: (612) 215-6870
 lukas@nka.com
 6 bailey@nka.com

LITTLER MENDELSON, PC
 Andrew Voss, MN Bar No. 0241556
 (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 1300 IDS Center
 80 South Eighth Street
 Minneapolis, MN 55402
 Telephone: (612) 630-1000
 Facsimile: (612) 630-9626
 avoss@littler.com

7 NICHOLS KASTER, LLP
 Matthew C. Helland, CA Bar No. 250451
 8 One Embarcadero Center, Suite 720
 San Francisco, CA 94111
 9 Telephone: (415) 277-7235
 Facsimile: (415) 277-7238
 10 Helland@nka.com

LITTLER MENDELSON, PC
 Michael E. Brewer, CA Bar No. 177912
 Gregory G. Iskander, CA Bar No. 200215
 1255 Treat Boulevard, Suite 600
 Walnut Creek, CA 94597
 Telephone: (925) 932-2468
 Facsimile: (925) 946-9809
 mbrewer@littler.com
 giskander@littler.com

11 Attorneys for Individual and Representative
 12 Plaintiffs

Attorneys for Defendants

13 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 14 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 15 SACRAMENTO DIVISION

17 _____)
 18 HEATH BELCHER and DENESSA)
 ARGUELLO, individually and on behalf of)
 19 other similarly situated individuals,)

20 Plaintiffs,)
 21)

22 v.)

23 WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.,)
 and WASTE CONNECTIONS OF)
 24 CALIFORNIA, INC.)

25 Defendants.)
 26 _____)
 27)
 28)

Case No.: 2:09-CV-00135-FCD-DAD

[Hon. Judge Frank C. Damrell, Jr.]
 [Magistrate Judge Dale A. Drozd]

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
 FOR DISMISSAL WITH
 PREJUDICE**

[Complaint filed January 15, 2009]

1 Having fully resolved the disputes herein,

2 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Plaintiffs HEATH
3 BELCHER and DENESSA ARGUELLO, together with opt-in Plaintiffs DOUGLAS COOPER,
4 RAUL CRUZ, MARIO RATTO, JEFFREY REYNOLDS, and EARL WILSON (“Plaintiffs”),
5 and Defendants WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. and WASTE CONNECTIONS OF
6 CALIFORNIA, INC. (“Defendants”) (collectively “the Parties”), by and through their
7 abovementioned attorneys, that the above-captioned action against Defendants be dismissed with
8 prejudice on the merits and without costs to any of the parties pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
9 Procedure 41(a)(1).

10 Respectfully submitted,

11 Dated: February 8, 2010

12 By: s/ Rebekah L. Bailey
13 Rebekah L. Bailey
14 NICHOLS KASTER, LLP

15 ATTORNEYS FOR INDIVIDUAL AND
16 REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFFS

17 Dated: February 8, 2010

18 By: s/ Andrew J. Voss
19 Andrew J. Voss
20 LITTLER MENDELSON, PC

21 ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS


22 **ORDER**

23 Based on the foregoing and good cause appearing therefrom,

24 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed with prejudice as
25 to Defendants. Each party is to bear its own costs. This case is closed.

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 DATED: February 8, 2010

28 
FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE