

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 RICHARD L. ISGRIGG,

No. CIV S-09-0136-CMK-P

12 Petitioner,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 CDCR, et al.,

15 Respondent.
16 _____/

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of
18 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has consented to Magistrate Judge
19 jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and no other party has been served or appeared in the
20 action.

21 On November 23, 2009, the court dismissed petitioner's petition and directed
22 petitioner to file an amended petition within 30 days. Petitioner was warned that failure to file an
23 amended petition may result in dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to
24 comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 11-110. To date, petitioner has not
25 complied.

26 ///

1 Petitioner shall show cause in writing, within 30 days of the date of this order,
2 why this action should not be dismissed for failure to file an amended petition. Petitioner is
3 again warned that failure to respond to this order may result in dismissal of the action for the
4 reasons outlined above, as well as for failure to prosecute and comply with court rules and orders.

5 See id.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7
8 DATED: April 21, 2010

9 
10 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26