1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	KEVIN GALIK,
10	Plaintiff, No. 2: 09-cv-0152 WBS KJN P
11	VS.
12	A. NANGALAMA, et al.,
13	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
14	/
15	On September 7, 2011, defendants filed a request for clarification of the
16	scheduling order. The scheduling order states that all pre-trial motions, except motions to
17	compel, are due on or before September 30, 2011. Defendants state that they are unclear as to
18	what the court meant by "pre-trial motions." Defendants state that "pre-trial" motions could
19	conceivably refer to motions in limine.
20	Defendants are informed that the pre-trial motion deadline does not apply to
21	motions customarily filed before trial, such as motions in limine.
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants' September 7, 2011
23	request for clarification is resolved.
24	DATED: October 18, 2011
25	KENDADEJE NEWMADEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	gal152.cla
	1