I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	KELVIN HOUSTON,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:09-cv-0178 GEB EFB P
12	VS.
13	MIKE KNOWLES, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding in forma pauperis, <i>see</i> Dckt. No. 19, seeks relief
17	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 20, 2012, this action was dismissed and judgment
18	duly entered. On December 28, 2012, plaintiff filed a notice of appeal. On January 18, 2013,
19	plaintiff filed a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. The Federal Rules of Appellate
20	Procedure provide as follows:
21	A party who was permitted to proceed in forma pauperis in the district-court action may proceed on appeal in forma pauperis without further authorization
22	unless the district court certifies that the appeal is not taken in good faith or finds that the party is not otherwise entitled to proceed in forma pauperis
23	
24	Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3). This court has not certified that plaintiff's appeal is not taken in good
25	faith and has not otherwise found that plaintiff is not entitled to proceed on appeal in forma
26	pauperis.
	1

1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in
2	forma pauperis on appeal (Dckt. No. 115) is denied as unnecessary. See Fed. R. App. P. 24(a).
3	Dated: January 30, 2013.
4	Smind Fileman
5	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8 9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2