1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ROBERT ANDERSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:09-cv-0194-JFM (PC)
12	VS.
13	CORRECTIONAL OFFICER LAMBERT, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	/
16	On September 24, 2009, defendants filed a motion to dismiss pursuant to Federal
17	Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b). Plaintiff has not opposed the motion.
18	Local Rule 78-230(m) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file
19	written opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any
20	opposition to the granting of the motion" On July 6, 2009, plaintiff was advised of the
21	requirements for filing an opposition to the motion and that failure to oppose such a motion may
22	be deemed a waiver of opposition to the motion.
23	Local Rule 11-110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules "may be
24	grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the
25	inherent power of the Court." In the order filed July 6, 2009, plaintiff was advised that failure to
26	comply with the Local Rules may result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed.
	1

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days of the
date of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any he has, to the motion to dismiss or a
statement of non-opposition. Failure to comply with this order will result in dismissal of this
action be pursuant Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

DATED: November 6, 2009.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

ande0194.46osc