1 2 3 4 5 6	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Joel S. Sanders, SBN 107234, JSanders@gibsondunn.com George A. Nicoud III, SBN 106111, TNicoud@gibsondunn.com Enrique A. Monagas, SBN 239087, EMonagas@gibsondunn.com 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, California 94105-2933 Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306			
7 8	Attorneys for Defendant Los Gatos Tomato Products BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP			
9	Stephen Zovickian (SBN 78697) stephen.zovickian@bingham.com Frank M. Hinman (SBN 157402) frank.hinman@bingham.com David C. Beach (SBN 226972) duff.beach@bingham.com Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: 415.393.2000 Facsimile: 415.393.2286 Attorneys for Defendant Ingomar Packing Company			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14 15				
16	[additional counsel listed on signature page]			
17				
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
19	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION			
20				
21	The Morning Star Packing Company, et al.	No. 2:09-CV-00208-MCE-EFB		
22	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE EXTENSION OF TIME		
23	v.	TO RESPOND TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT		
24	SK Foods, L.P., et al.			
25	Defendants.			
26				
27				
28				

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

1	
2	Fruit and Tomato Kitchens, LLC and The Morning Star Company (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and
3	defendants Ingomar Packing Company, LLC, Greg Pruett, Los Gatos Tomato Products, and Stuart
4	Woolf ("Defendants"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby submit the
5	following stipulation and proposed order pursuant to Local Rule 144(a).

6 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint in the above-captioned case on
7 December 6, 2010;

8 WHEREAS, the parties anticipate that one or more of Defendants may file a motion under
9 Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in response to the second amended complaint;

WHEREAS, counsel for the parties have agreed to extend the time for Defendants to respond
to the second amended complaint and, in the event that any response is by way of a Rule 12 motion,
that Plaintiffs shall have additional time in which to file an opposition to such motion(s); and

WHEREAS, this is the first extension of time to respond to the second amended complaintagreed to by Plaintiffs and Defendants in this matter.

15 THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendants, by and through their respective counsel of record,
16 hereby stipulate as follows:

Defendants shall file and serve a response to the second amended complaint on or
 before Friday, January 21, 2011; and

To the extent that one or more Defendants responds to the second amended complaint
 by filing a motion under Rule 12 of the FRCP, the hearing of such motion shall be set for a hearing
 date sufficient to allow Plaintiffs no less than 45 days in which to file an opposition to such
 motion(s).

- IT IS SO STIPULATED.
- 24 ///

23

- 25 ///
- 26 ///
- 27

28

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

1	Dated: December 22, 2010	WEINTRAUB GENSHLEA CHEDIAK
2		Law Corporation
3		By: /s/ - James Kachmar James Kachmar
4		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
5		
6	Dated: December 22, 2010	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN
7		By: <u>/s/ - Stephen Zovickian</u> Stephen Zovickian
8		Attorneys for Defendant
9		Ingomar Packing Company
10	Dated: December 22, 2010	RAMSEY & EHRLICH, LLP
11		By: <u>/s/ - Miles Ehrlich</u> Miles Ehrlich
12		Attorneys for Defendant Greg Pruett
13		
14	Dated: December 22, 2010	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER
15		By: <u>/s/ - George A. Nicoud III</u> George A. Nicoud III
16		Attorneys for Defendant
17		Los Gatos Tomato Products
18	Dated: December 22, 2010	COLETT, ERICKSON, FARMER & O'NEILL, LLP
19		By: <u>/s/ - William S. Farmer</u>
20		William S. Farmer Attorneys for Defendant Stuart Woolf
21		
22		ORDER
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	Dated: January 13, 2011	
25	2 area. Junuary 13, 2011	Lan Alt
26		MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
27		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28		
	I	