(collectively, "Plaintiffs"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby submit the following stipulation and proposed order pursuant to Local Rule 230(f) of the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California.

WHEREAS, on July 12, 2017 (Dkt. 306), Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's Summary Judgment Order entered on June 14, 2017 (Dkt. 304) (hereinafter, the "Motion"), and parties later stipulated, and the Court approved, that the hearing for the Motion be set for September 8, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. before this Court;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and the Ingomar Defendants are currently engaged in settlement discussions;

WHEREAS, a settlement between Plaintiffs and the Ingomar Defendants will moot the Motion as it applies to the Ingomar Defendants;

WHEREAS, continuing the hearing to allow for settlement discussions between Plaintiffs and the Ingomar Defendants is in the interest of judicial economy and will conserve judicial and party resources;

WHEREAS, having a single hearing on the Motion is also in the interest of judicial economy and will conserve judicial and party resources;

WHEREAS, counsel for Los Gatos Tomato Products and Stuart Woolf (the "Los Gatos Defendants") has indicated that the Los Gatos Defendants take no position on this stipulation;

WHEREAS, subject to court approval, Plaintiffs and the Ingomar Defendants have agreed to continue the September 8, 2017 hearing to November 17, 2017

WHEREAS, the Los Gatos Defendants do not oppose such a continuance;

WHEREAS, consistent with the proposed November 17, 2017 hearing date, the parties have also agreed to a briefing schedule for the Motion.

THEREFORE, the parties, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1	1. The current September 8, 2017 hearing date scheduled for the Motion for	
2	Reconsideration of the Court's Summary Judgment Order shall be continued to November 17,	
3	2017 at 10:00 a.m.	
4	2. The deadline for the	Los Gatos Defendants and the Ingomar Defendants to file and
5	serve an opposition to the Motion shall be no later than October 27, 2017;	
6	3. The deadline for Pla	aintiffs to file and serve a Reply to the opposition to the Motion
7	shall be on or before November 10	, 2017.
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9 10	Dated: August 14, 2017	MORGAN LEWIS AND BOCKIUS, LLP
11		By:/s/ Sujal Shah
12		Sujal Shah Attorneys for Defendant
13		Ingomar Packing Company
14	D . 1 . A	
1516	Dated: August 14, 2017	RAMSEY & EHRLICH LLP
17		By: /s/ Miles Ehrlich (as authorized on August 11, 2017)
18		Miles Ehrlich Attorneys for Defendant Gregory R. Pruett
19		
20	Dated: August 14, 2017	WEINTRAUB TOBIN CHEDIAK COLEMAN GRODIN Law Corporation
21		1
22		By: /s/ Dale C. Campbell (as authorized on August 11, 2017) Dale C. Campbell
23		Attorneys for All Plaintiffs
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		
26	Dated: August 16, 2017.	MANUA.
27		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE