(PC) Miller v. Carrera et al

Doc. 14

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26

"A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge's] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); see also United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. The court presumes that any findings of fact not objected to are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing, concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the proposed findings and recommendations in full.

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 17, 2010 (Dkt. No. 11), are adopted in full;
  - 2. Plaintiff's motions to proceed in forma pauperis (Dkt. Nos. 5, 7), are denied;
- 3. Plaintiff is directed to pay in full the \$350 filing fee within 21 days of the filing date of this order (failure timely to pay the full filing fee will result in dismissal of this action); and
- 4. Plaintiffs' motions for preliminary injunction (Dkt. Nos. 6, 8), are denied. DATED: April 27, 2010.

K C. DAMRELL, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE