

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

QUOC XOUNG LUU,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-09-0338 MCE EFB P

vs.

D.K. SISTO, Warden, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

_____ /
Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has requested that the court appoint counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. *See Nevius v. Sumner*, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). The court may appointment counsel at any stage of the proceedings “if the interests of justice so require.” *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3006A; *see also*, Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at this stage of the proceedings.

Accordingly, it hereby is ORDERED that petitioner’s June 15, 2010 motion for appointment of counsel is denied without prejudice.

DATED: June 21, 2010.


EDMUND F. BRENNAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE