1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
° 9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 10	ROBERT WILLIS,
10	
11	
	VS.
13	R. WEEKS,
14	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	On October 25, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion to quash a subpoena issued by
17	defendant Weeks seeking plaintiff's "mental and psychiatric records" from September 2002 to
18	the present from his institution of confinement. Defendant Weeks has not filed an opposition to
19	that motion.
20	Local Rule 230(1) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written
21	opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to
22	the granting of the motion" Moreover, on April 14, 2009, the court issued an order
23	requiring the parties to brief discovery motions pending before the court.
24	/////
25	////
26	/////
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within fourteen days of the date of
2	this order, defendant Weeks shall file an opposition to plaintiff's motion to quash.
3	DATED: March 16, 2011.
4	
5	Dale A. Drogat DALE A. DROZD
6	DAD:9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	will0342.46mtq
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24 25	
23 26	
-0	2

I