

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHAWN CURTIS DONGES,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-0360 DAD P

vs.

DON PERETT, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____ /

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 30, 2010, defendants Perett and Baker filed a motion to compel plaintiff to respond to their first set of special interrogatories. Pursuant to the court’s discovery and scheduling order filed April 2, 2010, plaintiff was required to file an opposition or statement of opposition to defendants’ motion within twenty-one days after the date of service of that motion. See Local Rule 230(1). Plaintiff, however, has not filed an opposition or otherwise responded to defendants’ motion to compel.

Accordingly, good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall file and serve an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendants’ June 30, 2010 motion to compel. Failure to file an opposition will

////

1 be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of defendants' motion. Defendants shall
2 file a reply, if any, in accordance with Local Rule 230(l).

3 DATED: August 9, 2010.

4
5 
6 _____
7 DALE A. DROZD
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 DAD:sj
8 dong0360.46.mtc

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26