1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	NOEL KEITH WATKINS,
10	Petitioner, No. CIV S-09-0363 WBS DAD P
11	VS.
12	MIKE KNOWLES, Warden,
13	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
14	/
15	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no
16	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d
17	453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at
18	any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing
19	§ 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be
20	served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's December 23, 2009
22	motion for appointment of counsel (Doc. No. 15) is denied.
23	DATED: December 30, 2009.
24	2000
25	Dale A. Drogd DALE A. DROZD
26	DAD:9:mp UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE watk0363.110

I