(HC)Harper	er v. Felker et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8		CT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	ERIC HARPER,		
11	, and the second	400 WBS EFB P	
12	, and the second	-100 W D5 L1 D 1	
13	T. FELKER, et al.,		
14			
15			
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of		
17			
18	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States  Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.		
19	On August 17, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations		
20	herein which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any		
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner		
22	has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
23			
24	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be		
25	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
26	///// //// //// /// /// /// // // // //		
20			
	<u> </u>		

Doc. 7

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed August 17, 2009 are adopted in full;	
2	and	
3	2. This action is dismissed without prejudice.	
4	DATED: October 25, 2009	
5	william of shite	
6	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
	.i	