(PS) Rhodes	s v. Placer County et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	KATHLYN A. RHODES,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:09-cv-00489 MCE KJN
12	VS.
13	PLACER COUNTY, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	On July 12, 2010, the parties filed a brief document entitled Joint Status Report.
17	(Dkt. No. 104.) No pretrial scheduling conference date has yet been set in this action. Plaintiff
18	and the Rocklin defendants request that the initial disclosure deadline and the discovery deadline
19	be set for a certain date. The remaining defendants request that the court continue the deadline
20	for the parties' status report and initial disclosures until after it has ruled on the three pending
21	motions to dismiss currently under submission before this court. In consideration of the
22	foregoing, and in the interests of judicial economy, the court will vacate the deadline for making
23	
24	
25	On September 15, 2009, the court issued its initial service and status report order. (Dkt.
26	No. 6.)
	1
	Docket

Doc. 106

initial disclosures and filing a joint status report, and will set a status conference after its ruling on the motions to dismiss, if necessary.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 14, 2010