

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD WALLACE,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-0498 KJM

vs.

JERRY BROWN, et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

_____/

By an order filed March 13, 2009, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed in forma pauperis affidavit within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed a completed in forma pauperis affidavit.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the March 13 Order was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

1 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
2 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty
3 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
4 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
5 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any reply to the objections
6 shall be served and filed within ten days after service of the objections. The parties are advised
7 that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
8 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

9 DATED: August 3, 2009.

10
11 
12 _____
13 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 ²
wall0498.fifp